

Summary of the Resolution of the Key Technical Issue on Repository Design and Thermal-Mechanical Effects

<u>Subissue #</u>	<u>Subissue Title</u>	<u>Status</u>	<u>NRC/DOE Agreements</u>
1	Implementation of an effective design control process within the overall quality assurance program	Closed	N/A
2	Design of the geologic repository operations area for the effects of seismic vents and direct fault disruption	Closed-Pending	<p>1) Provide Topical Report 3, Preclosure Seismic Design Inputs for a Geologic Repository at Yucca Mountain. Consistent with SDS Subissue 2, Agreement 2, the DOE will provide Seismic Topical Report 3, <i>Preclosure Seismic Design Inputs for a Geologic Repository at Yucca Mountain</i>, expected to be available to the NRC in January 2002.</p> <p>2) Provide the substantive technical content of Topical Report 3. The DOE will provide the preliminary seismic design input data sets used in Site Recommendation design analyses to the NRC by April 2001. The DOE will provide the draft final seismic design inputs for license application via an Appendix 7 meeting after calculations are complete prior to delivery of Seismic Topical Report 3.</p>

3	Thermal-mechanical effects on underground facility design and performance	Closed-Pending	<p>1) Provide the technical basis for the range of relative humidities, as well as the potential occurrence of localized liquid phase water, and resulting affects on ground support systems. The DOE will provide the technical basis for the range of relative humidity and temperature, and the potential effects of localized liquid phase water on ground support systems, during the forced ventilation preclosure period, in the <i>Longevity of Emplacement Drift Ground Support Materials</i>, ANL-EBS-GE-000003 Rev 01, and revision 1 of the <i>Ventilation Model</i>, ANL-EBS-MD-000030, analysis and model reports. These are expected to be available to NRC in September and March 2001, respectively.</p> <p>2) Provide the critical combinations of in-situ, thermal, and seismic stresses, together with their technical bases, and their impacts on ground support performance. The DOE will examine the critical combinations of in-situ, thermal, and seismic stresses, together with their technical bases and their impacts on preclosure ground support performance. These results will be documented in a revision to the <i>Ground Control for Emplacement Drifts for SR</i>, ANL-EBS-GE-000002 (or other document) supporting any potential license application. This is expected to be available to NRC in FY 2003.</p> <p>3) Provide the Seismic Design Inputs AMR and the Preclosure Seismic Design Inputs for a Geologic Repository at Yucca Mountain, Seismic Topical Report 3. Consistent with SDS Subissue 2, Agreement 2, the DOE will provide the <i>Seismic Design Inputs</i> analysis and model report and <i>Preclosure Seismic Design Inputs for a Geologic Repository at Yucca Mountain</i>, Seismic Topical Report 3. These documents are expected to be available to NRC in January 2002.</p>
---	---	----------------	--

3	Thermal-mechanical effects on underground facility design and performance - cont.	<p>4) Provide in the Design Parameter Analysis Report (or some other document) site-specific properties of the host rock, as a minimum those included in the NRC handout, together with the spatial and temporal variations and uncertainties in such properties, as an update to the information contained in the March 1997 Yucca Mountain Site Geotechnical Report. The DOE will: (1) evaluate the adequacy of the currently available measured and derived data to support the potential repository licensing case and identify areas where available data may warrant additional field measurements or testing to reduce uncertainty. DOE will provide a design parameters analysis report (or other document) that will include the results of these evaluations, expected to be available to NRC in FY 2002; and (2) acquire data and/or perform additional analyses as necessary to respond to the needs identified in 1 above. The DOE will provide these results prior to any potential license application.</p> <p>5) Provide the Rock Mass Classification Analysis (or some other document) including the technical basis for accounting for the effects of lithophysae. The DOE will provide a rock mass classification analysis (or other document), including the technical basis for accounting for the effects of lithophysae, expected to be available to NRC in FY 2002.</p> <p>6) Provide the design sensitivity and uncertainty analyses of the rock support system. The DOE will prepare a scoping analysis to determine the significance of the input parameters for review by NRC staff by August 2002. Once an agreed set of significant parameters has been determined by the DOE and the NRC staff, the DOE will prepare an analysis of the sensitivity and uncertainty of the preclosure rock support system to design parameters in a revision to the <i>Ground Control for Emplacement Drifts for SR</i>, ANL-EBS-GE-000002 (or other document) supporting any potential license application. This is expected to be available to NRC in FY 2003.</p>
---	---	---

3	Thermal-mechanical effects on underground facility design and performance - cont.	<p>7) The DOE should account for the effect of sustained loading on intact rock strength or provide justification for not accounting for it. The DOE will assess the effects of sustained loading on intact rock strength. The DOE will provide the results of this assessment in a design parameters analysis report (or other document), expected to be available to NRC in FY 2002.</p> <p>8) Provide the design sensitivity and uncertainty analyses of the fracture pattern (with respect to Subissue 3, Component 1). The DOE will provide sensitivity and uncertainty analysis of fracture patterns (based on observed orientation, spacing, trace length, etc) on the preclosure ground control system design in a revision to the <i>Ground Control for Emplacement Drifts for SR</i>, ANL-EBS-GE-000002 (or other document) supporting any potential license application. This is expected to be available to NRC in FY 2003.</p> <p>9) Provide appropriate analysis that shows that rock movements in the invert are either controlled or otherwise remain within the range acceptable to provide for retrieval and other necessary operations within the deposal drifts. DOE will provide appropriate analysis that shows rock movements in the floor of the emplacement drift are within the range acceptable for preclosure operations. The analysis results will be provided in a revision to the <i>Ground Control for Emplacement Drifts for SR</i>, ANL-EBS-GE-000002 (or other document) supporting any potential license application. This is expected to be available to NRC in FY 2003.</p> <p>10) Provide technical basis for the assessment that two-dimensional modeling for emplacement drifts is considered to be adequate, considering the fact that neither the in-situ stress field nor the principle fracture orientation are parallel or perpendicular to emplacement drift orientation. The DOE will provide the technical bases for the modeling methods used in ground control analysis in a revision to the <i>Ground Control for Emplacement Drifts for SR</i>, ANL-EBS-GE-000002 (or other document) supporting any potential license application. This is expected to be available to NRC in FY 2003.</p>
---	---	--

3	Thermal-mechanical effects on underground facility design and performance - cont.		<p>11) Provide continuum and discontinuum analyses of ground support system performance that take into account long-term degradation of rockmass and joint strength properties. The DOE will justify the preclosure ground support system design (including the effects of long term degradation of rock mass and joint strength properties) in a revision to the <i>Ground Control for Emplacement Drifts for SR</i>, ANL-EBS-GE-000002 (or other document) supporting any potential license application. This is expected to be available to NRC in FY 2003.</p> <p>12) Provide dynamic analyses (discontinuum approach) of ground support system performance using site specific ground motion time history as input. The DOE will provide appropriate analyses to include dynamic analyses (discontinuum approach) of preclosure ground support systems, using site specific ground motion time histories as input, in a revision to the <i>Ground Control for Emplacement Drifts for SR</i>, ANL-EBS-GE-000002 (or other document) supporting any potential license application. This is expected to be available to NRC in FY 2003.</p> <p>13) Provide technical justification for boundary conditions used for continuum and discontinuum modeling used for underground facility design. The DOE will provide the technical justification for boundary conditions used in modeling for preclosure ground control analyses in a revision to the <i>Ground Control for Emplacement Drifts for SR</i>, ANL-EBS-GE-000002 (or other document) supporting any potential license application. This is expected to be available to NRC in FY 2003.</p>
---	---	--	--

3	Thermal-mechanical effects on underground facility design and performance - cont.	<p>14) Provide the results of the ventilation modeling being conducted at the University of Nevada-Reno (Multi-Flux code) and validation testing at the Atlas Facility (validation of the ventilation model based on the ANSYS code), including: 1) the technical bases for the adequacy of discretization used in these models and 2) the technical bases for the applicability of the modeling results to prediction of heat removal from the repository. The DOE will provide the results of the ventilation tests in a update to the <i>Ventilation Model</i>, ANL-EBS-MD-000030, analysis and model report including: 1) the technical bases for the adequacy of discretization used in these models and 2) the technical bases for the applicability of the modeling results to prediction of heat removal from the repository. This is expected to be available to NRC in FY 2002.</p> <p>15) Provide field data and analysis of rock bridges between rock joints that are treated as cohesion in DRKBA modeling together with a technical basis for how a reduction in cohesion adequately accounts for thermal effects. The DOE will provide clarification of the approach and technical basis for how reduction in cohesion adequately accounts for thermal effects, including any additional applicable supporting data and analyses. Additionally, the adequacy of the cohesion reduction approach will be verified according to the approach described in Subissue 3, Agreement 22, of the Repository Design and Thermal-Mechanical Effects Technical Exchange. This will be documented in a revision to the <i>Drift Degradation Analysis</i>, ANL-EBS-MD-000027, expected to be available to NRC in FY 2003.</p> <p>16) Provide a technical basis for the DOE position that the method used to model joint planes as circular discs does not under-represent the smaller trace-length fractures. The DOE will analyze the available small trace-length fracture data from the Exploratory Studies Facility and Enhanced Characterization of the Repository Block, including their effect on block development. This will be documented in a revision to the <i>Drift Degradation Analysis</i>, ANL-EBS-MD-000027, expected to be available to NRC in FY 2003.</p>
---	---	--

3	Thermal-mechanical effects on underground facility design and performance - cont.	<p>17) Provide the technical basis for effective maximum rock size including consideration of the effect of variation of the joint dip angle. The DOE will provide the technical basis for effective maximum rock size including consideration of the effect of variation of the joint dip angle. This will be documented in revisions to the <i>Drift Degradation Analysis</i>, ANL-EBS-MD-000027, and the <i>Rockfall on Drip Shield</i>, CAL-EBS-ME-000001, expected to be available to NRC in FY 2003.</p> <p>18) Provide a technical basis for a stress measure that can be used as the equivalent uniaxial stress for assessing the susceptibility of the various engineered barrier system materials to stress corrosion cracking (SCC). The proposed stress measure must be consistent and compatible with the methods proposed by the DOE to assess SCC of the containers in WAPDEG and in accordance with the agreements reached at the CLST Technical Exchange. The DOE will include a detailed discussion of the stress measure used to determine nucleation of stress corrosion cracks in the calculations performed to evaluate waste package barriers and the drip shield against stress corrosion cracking criterion. DOE will include these descriptions in future revisions of the following: <i>Design Analysis for UCF Waste Packages</i>, ANL-UDC-MD-000001, <i>Design Analysis for the Defense High-Level Waste Disposal Container</i>, ANL-DDC-ME-000001, <i>Design Analysis for the Naval SNF Waste Package</i>, ANL-UDC-ME-000001, and <i>Design Analysis for the Ex-Container Components</i>, ANL-XCS-ME-000001. The stresses reported in these documents will be used in WAPDEG and will be consistent with the agreements and associated schedule made at the Container Life and Source Term Technical Exchange (Subissue 1, Agreement 14, Subissue 6, Agreement 1).</p>
3	Thermal-mechanical effects on underground facility design and performance - cont.	<p>19) The acceptability of the process models that determine whether rockfall can be screened out from performance assessment abstractions needs to be substantiated by the DOE by doing the following: (1) provide revised DRKBA analyses using appropriate range of strength properties for rock joints from the Design Analysis Parameters Report, accounting for their long-term degradation; (2) provide an analysis of block sizes based on the full</p>

			<p>distribution of joint trace length data from the Fracture Geometry Analysis Report for the Stratigraphic Units of the Repository Host Horizon, including small joints trace lengths; (3) verify the results of the revised DRKBA analyses using: (a) appropriate boundary conditions for thermal and seismic loading; (b) critical fracture patterns from the DRKBA Monte Carlo simulations (at least two patterns for each rock unit); (c) thermal and mechanical properties for rock blocks and joints from the Design Analysis Parameters Report; (d) long-term degradation of rock block and joint strength parameters; and (e) site-specific groundmotion time histories appropriate for post-closure period; provide a detailed documentation of the analyses results; and (4) in view of the uncertainties related to the rockfall analyses and the importance of the outcome of the analyses to the performance of the repository, evaluate the impacts of rockfall in performance assessment calculations. DOE believes that the <i>Drift Degradation Analysis</i> is consistent with current understanding of the Yucca Mountain site and the level of detail of the design to date. As understanding of the site and the design evolve, DOE will: (1) provide revised DRKBA analyses using appropriate range of strength properties for rock joints from a design parameters analysis report (or other document), accounting for their long-term degradation; (2) provide an analysis of block sizes based on the full distribution of joint trace length data from the <i>Fracture Geometry Analysis for the Stratigraphic Units of the Repository Host Horizon</i>, ANL-EBS-GE-000006, supplemented by available small joint trace length data; (3) verify the results of the revised DRKBA analyses using: (a) appropriate boundary conditions for thermal and seismic loading; (b) critical fracture patterns from the DRKBA Monte Carlo simulations (at least two patterns for each rock unit); (c) thermal and mechanical properties for rock blocks and joints from a design parameters analysis report (or other document); (d) long-term degradation of joint strength parameters; and (e) site-specific ground motion time histories appropriate for post-closure period. This will be documented in a revision to the <i>Drift Degradation Analysis</i>, ANL-EBS-MD-000027, expected to be available to NRC in FY 2003. Based on the results of the analyses above and subsequent drip shield calculation revisions, DOE will reconsider the screening decision for inclusion or exclusion of rockfall in performance assessment analysis. Any changes to</p>
--	--	--	--

			screening decisions will be documented in analyses prior to any potential license application.
3	Thermal-mechanical effects on underground facility design and performance - cont.		<p>20) Provide the sensitivity analyses including the effects of boundary conditions, coefficient of thermal expansion, fracture distributions, rock mass and fracture properties, and drift degradation (from Subissue 3, Component 3, Slide 39). The DOE will provide sensitivity analyses of thermal-mechanical effects on fracture permeability, including the effects of boundary conditions, coefficient of thermal expansion, fracture distributions, rock mass and fracture properties, and drift degradation. This will be provided consistent with site data and integrated with appropriate models in a future revision to the <i>Coupled Thermal Hydrologic Mechanical Effects on Permeability</i>, ANL-NBS-HS-000037, and is expected to be available to NRC in FY 2003.</p> <p>21) Provide the results of additional validation analysis of field tests (from Subissue 3, Component 3, Slide 39). The DOE will provide the results of additional validation analysis of field tests related to the thermal-mechanical effects on fracture permeability in a future revision to the <i>Coupled Thermal Hydrologic Mechanical Effects on Permeability</i>, ANL-NBS-HS-000037, and is expected to be available to NRC in FY 2003.</p>
4	Design and long-term contribution of repository seals in meeting post-closure performance objectives	Closed	N/A